RUKASI: Jurnal Ilmiah Perkembangan Penedidikan dan Pembelajaran
Vol. 01, No. 01, Tahun 2024, Hal. 12-22

STEM Education Enhancement Through Effective Professional Development: A Comprehensive Program for Long-Term Growth

Kurniawan Arif Maspul[1]

[1]*University of the People, California, United States*
kurniawanarifmaspul@my.uopeople.edu

Article Info

Article history:

Received January 5, 2024
Revised January 10, 2024
Accepted January 15, 2024

Keywords:

STEM education, Professional development (PD), Impactful Strategies, Transformative, Student outcomes

ABSTRACT

The necessity for effective professional development (PD) in STEM education is more intense than ever. Shorter PD programs, on the other hand, are frequently more feasible due to time restrictions. The study looks into the most successful ways of designing and implementing short-term PD programs that provide significant results. Short-term PD programs can deliver intense bursts of improvement by focusing on dynamic training, personalized learning routes, and ongoing support. This study looks at how these programs can help educators modify their methods and improve student learning results. Collaborative networks and communities of practice are also essential for maximizing the impact of short-term PD. The transformative benefits can spread throughout schools and districts by creating relationships and boosting collaboration. This study demonstrates the potential for brief, meaningful PD programs to transform STEM instruction. Educators are embracing brief yet transformative professional development sessions and observing the enormous impact they can have on the future of STEM education.

Corresponding Author:

Kurniawan Arif Maspul
University of the People, California, United States
Kurniawanarifmaspul@my.uopeople.edu

Introduction

There has been a rising acknowledgment in recent years of the need of effective professional development (PD) for STEM educators. High-quality professional development programs have been proven in research to dramatically improve teaching methods, student results, and build a culture of continuous improvement in STEM education (Desimone *et al*., 2002; Patfield *et al*., 2023). However, many professional development programs are generally short-term and limited in scope, consisting of one-time workshops or seminars that give teachers a limited opportunity to deepen their learning and effectively adopt new approaches (Corcoran *et al*., 2009; Culver *et al*., 2023).

The necessity for adequate professional development (PD) for STEM instructors cannot be stressed in an ever-changing educational landscape. To optimize its impact on teaching practices and student outcomes, the length of the PD program must be carefully considered, as well as the reasons for prolonging it. This introduction dives deeper into the topic, offering a

thorough examination of fundamental principles that underpin extending the STEM PD program over multiple academic years. This discussion seeks to highlight the critical importance of a well-structured, extended PD program for STEM educators by delving into the concepts of deep learning and expertise development, implementation and iterative improvement, sustainability and cultural shift, long-term impact on student outcomes, and the need for continuous support.

To address this issue, there is an imperative to explore creative approaches to professional development that provide more persistent and comprehensive support for STEM instructors. Extending the duration of the PD program over numerous academic years is a possible solution. This strategy allows educators to engage in a more longer and intensive learning experience, giving them the time and opportunity to thoroughly examine STEM pedagogy, adopt new strategies, and assess their influence on student learning outcomes. Furthermore, research has continuously emphasized the importance of deep learning and expertise development, emphasizing the necessity for ongoing involvement to create major gains in teaching methods (Bransford *et al*., 2000; Darling-Hammond *et al*., 2017, Kilag *et al*., 2023). Teachers can engage in a more gradual and scaffolded learning process by extending the duration of the PD program, allowing them to build a firm foundation of knowledge and skills before proceeding to more advanced concepts and practices.

Furthermore, long-term commitment is required to sustain change and build a culture of continual improvement in STEM education. An extended professional development program allows educators to form collaborative learning communities, foster continuing support and mentorship, and cultivate a shared sense of responsibility for professional advancement. This culture transformation is critical for extending the impact of PD efforts beyond the program's lifespan. Furthermore, past research has shown that short-term PD programs frequently fail to create significant and long-term effects on student outcomes (Yoon *et al*., 2007; Khasawneh *et al*., 2023). The ability to observe and evaluate the long-term influence on student learning is increased by extending the PD program over numerous years. This prolonged period enables the collecting of valuable information, the tracking of student progress, and the capacity to make data-driven educational decisions to improve teaching techniques.

The data backs up the idea that spreading out the STEM PD program over multiple academic years has a lot of advantages. It allows instructors to engage in in-depth learning, progressive implementation, ongoing assistance, and long-term influence on student results. Taking these considerations into account, the purpose of this study is to investigate the efficacy of an extended PD program and its potential to raise STEM education to new heights.

METHOD

To collect complete and informative data, a holistic qualitative approach might be designed. This method includes a literature review, group discussions, and observations, all of which contribute unique views. The literature study explores existing information, theories, and best practices in STEM professional development programs, whereas group discussions provide direct experiences and viewpoints from educators who have participated in extended PD programs. Classroom observations provide concrete proof of the impact of extended professional development on teaching practices and student results. Patterns, themes, and major discoveries can be recognized by evaluating the data acquired from these sources, providing for

a fuller knowledge of the benefits, problems, and effective techniques related with extended PD programs in the context of STEM education.

Result and Discussion

Professional development (PD) is critical for educators to stay up to date on the newest pedagogical approaches and effectively address the problems of STEM education. This article describes a well-designed STEM professional development program that strives to engage participants and encourage long-term growth within the school community. This program assures the application of taught approaches and the long-term sustainability of professional development efforts by incorporating relevant theories and research.

Participants

Participants in the STEM PD program would include teachers from science, technology, engineering, and mathematics fields. Administrators, curriculum specialists, and instructional coaches with STEM education knowledge would also be actively involved. This all-inclusive approach promotes multidisciplinary collaboration and a holistic understanding of STEM education. The social learning theory supports the involvement of diverse stakeholders in professional growth. Individuals learn by observing and copying others, according to Bandura & Walters (1977). When instructors from various STEM fields gather for professional development, they have the opportunity to watch and learn from one other's approaches. This collaborative learning environment promotes the interchange of ideas, experiences, and approaches, resulting in improved professional development (Evi-Colombo *et al.*, 2023; Maspul, 2023).

Facilitation and Engagement

The PD program would be facilitated by experienced STEM educators, instructional coaches, and subject matter experts. They would offer participants direction, support, and resources, allowing them to experiment with new teaching methods and get a better knowledge of effective STEM training. The facilitators would use their experience to create an engaging and collaborative learning environment, instilling in the participants a culture of continual growth. The function of facilitators is consistent with Mezirow's (1991) transformative learning paradigm. Transformative learning occurs when individuals critically reflect on their beliefs and assumptions, resulting in a shift in their perspectives and actions, according to this notion. Facilitators can create chances for participants to engage in critical thought, challenge their existing beliefs, and explore new pedagogical techniques in the context of professional development. This transformative approach allows teachers to improve their instructional practices and adapt to evolving STEM education demands (Bahroun *et al*., 2023).

Furthermore, research on effective professional development supports the use of instructional coaches. Yoon *et al*. (2007) discovered in their meta-analysis that persistent, rigorous professional development with coaching support leads to better student accomplishment. The inclusion of instructional coaches in the professional development program improves individualized support and generates a continuous feedback loop, allowing teachers to get specific coaching and optimize their teaching approaches. The community of practice notion developed by Lave and Wenger (1991) has also inspired the development of an engaging and collaborative learning environment. This theory holds that learning occurs

through engagement in a community of people who have a common interest or vocation. Facilitators can offer chances for participants to cooperate, share resources, and engage in collective problem-solving in the context of STEM professional development. This collaborative aspect generates a sense of belonging and mutual support, which improves the PD program's effectiveness (Heartfield, 2023).

Incorporating relevant ideas and research into the STEM PD program's design guarantees that it is founded on evidence-based practices and adheres to the principles of effective professional development. The program encourages interdisciplinary cooperation, transformative learning, and continual improvement in STEM training by incorporating a diverse variety of participants and using the experience of facilitators.

PD Activities

The STEM PD program contains a variety of activities to accommodate different learning styles and preferences, ensuring that participants have an inclusive and enjoyable learning experience. These activities are backed up by research on effective professional development and discuss why they are included.

1. Workshops and Hands-on Training

Workshops provide participants with a structured environment in which to learn about inquiry-based learning, technology integration, and project-based approaches to STEM education. These seminars are intended to introduce participants to evidence-based instructional practices and equip them with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively use these approaches. Students' critical thinking, problem-solving, and scientific inquiry skills are enhanced by inquiry-based learning (National Research Council, 2012). Teachers can actually experience the benefits of inquiry-based learning and understand its impact on student learning outcomes by engaging in hands-on activities and examining real-world challenges. This method of experiential learning assists teachers in internalizing the ideas of inquiry-based instruction and increasing their confidence in implementing it in their classrooms.

It has been demonstrated that incorporating technology into STEM classroom improves student engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes (Means *et al*., 2013). Teachers can explore various technological tools and learn how to successfully integrate them into their lessons through hands-on training sessions. These seminars provide teachers with hands-on experience and the knowledge they need to use technology as a strong tool to improve STEM education. STEM project-based techniques generate authentic learning experiences and multidisciplinary connections (Helle *et al*., 2006; Dagan, 2023). Teachers can get insights into planning and conducting interesting projects that incorporate several STEM disciplines by participating in project-based learning workshops. This method enables students to apply their knowledge to real-world issues, which improves their comprehension and interest in STEM topics.

2. Learning Communities

Establishing small learning communities (SLCs) or professional learning communities (PLCs) within the PD program encourages participant cooperation, reflection, and the sharing of best practices. These communities offer a welcoming setting for continued study and professional development. According to research, collaborative learning spaces increase

instructional methods and student outcomes (Vescio *et al*., 2008; Sabah, 2023). Teachers can communicate with peers, share ideas, and problem-solve collectively by participating in learning communities. This collaborative method enables the exchange of different points of view, inspires innovation, and promotes continual progress in STEM education.

Furthermore, learning communities are consistent with the social constructivism notion, which emphasizes the importance of social interaction in the learning process (Vygotsky & Cole, 1978). Teachers generate knowledge and meaning collectively through active engagement in learning communities, drawing on their shared experiences and skills. This collaborative learning setting improves professional development outcomes by using the group's combined wisdom (Zabolotna *et al*., 2023).

3. Action Research Projects

Encouraging participants to conduct action research projects in their classrooms is an effective method for encouraging the application of learnt concepts and fostering data-driven decision-making. Action research allows teachers to analyze their own teaching practices, assess the impact of new strategies, and make evidence-based instructional decisions (Mertler, 2019). Teachers who participate in action research initiatives become reflective practitioners who constantly assess and improve their instructional techniques. This strategy enables them to address their learners' individual needs and issues and adapt their teaching methods accordingly.

Furthermore, action research projects allow teachers to contribute to the larger body of knowledge in STEM education. Teachers can contribute to the collective understanding of effective instructional practices and inspire others inside and outside of their school community by sharing their findings and experiences. The STEM PD program guarantees that participants engage in a variety of activities that match with best practices in professional development by including seminars, hands-on training, learning communities, and action research projects. These exercises cater to a variety of learning types, stimulate cooperation and reflection, and motivate teachers to implement newly taught approaches in their classrooms (Zhang *et al*., 2023; Maspul, 2023).

Length of the PD Program

The choice to prolong the STEM PD program over a longer length of time, ideally throughout multiple academic years, is based on the concept that effective professional development necessitates adequate time for significant learning, application, and reflection. There are various reasons why participants benefit from a longer length, such as two to three years.

1. Deep Learning and Expertise Development

Deep learning and expertise development, according to research, take time and require opportunities for persistent involvement (Bransford *et al*., 2000; Darling-Hammond *et al*., 2017). Participants will be able to engage in a more thorough and in-depth investigation of STEM pedagogy by extending the PD program over multiple years. This prolonged time frame provides for a more gradual and scaffolded approach to learning, allowing teachers to lay a firm foundation of knowledge and abilities before progressing to more advanced concepts and practices. Participants can delve into complex topics, engage in reflective practice, and fine-tune their instructional approaches over time.

2. Implementation and Iterative Improvement

Continuous support, practice, and refining are required when implementing new educational approaches and approaches in the classroom. Teachers will be able to implement what they have learnt and evaluate the impact on student outcomes for a longer period of time if the PD program is extended. Participants can iterate and modify their methods based on their experiences, feedback from colleagues and instructional coaches, and evidence of student development during this extended implementation phase. For teachers to internalize and effectively execute new approaches, adapt them to their individual situations, and handle any problems that may occur, the iterative improvement process is critical.

3. Sustainability and Cultural Shift

It is critical to establish a culture of continual improvement in order to foster sustainable change and have a long-term impact on STEM education within a school or district. Extending the PD program over several years contributes to the development of this culture by offering continual support, collaboration, and shared experiences. Teachers can build strong professional relationships, participate in collaborative learning communities, and work together to improve STEM instruction. This persistent engagement and collaboration contribute to a cultural transformation within the school community in which professional development is viewed as an essential component of educational practice.

4. Long-Term Impact on Student Outcomes

According to research, prolonged, intensive professional development has a stronger influence on student results than one-time, short-term seminars (Desimone *et al*., 2002; Yoon *et al*., 2007). Participants will have more time to assimilate new teaching strategies, perfect existing practices, and match them with the needs of their students when the PD program is extended. Teachers can observe the long-term effects of their professional development efforts on student learning outcomes through this extended engagement. It also allows teachers to collect more robust data, monitor student progress, and make data-driven educational decisions.

In summary, spreading the STEM PD program over multiple academic years provides for deeper learning, expertise building, implementation, iterative improvement, sustainability, and long-term influence on student results. Teachers have the time and support they need to reform their instructional practices, expand their knowledge, and contribute to a culture of continuous improvement in STEM education by providing an organized and prolonged learning experience.

Applying and Sustaining Learning

Meanwhile, it is essential to investigate options for program sustainability, such as leadership support, engagement with external partners, and the development of professional learning networks, as follows:

1. Coaching and Mentoring: Participants will receive continuous coaching and mentoring support from experienced STEM educators in addition to the initial PD sessions. These mentors would provide individualized assistance, watch classroom instruction, and provide feedback and support based on individual needs. Mentors, for example, could assist teachers in adapting acquired approaches to their own classroom circumstances, making ideas for

differentiation, and providing feedback on overcoming student misconceptions. A STEM PD program, for example, collaborates with local universities to connect participating instructors with graduate students in STEM subjects. Throughout the program, these graduate students serve as mentors and give continuous assistance to teachers. They watch classroom instruction, provide feedback, and lead discussions about how to effectively employ research-based practices.

2. Classroom Observations and Feedback: Instructional coaches or peers trained in successful observation techniques would conduct regular classroom observations. These observations would concentrate on the application of previously learned approaches and would give teachers with constructive comments to help them improve their educational practices. The feedback could highlight areas of strength and recommend particular modifications to help students learn more effectively. For instance, the STEM PD program implements a peer observation paradigm in which teachers join small groups and do reciprocal classroom observations. Teachers provide feedback and engage in reflective discussions after seeing a colleague's class to exchange insights, techniques, and best practices. This collaborative approach encourages constant learning and growth.
3. Showcasing Best Practices: The professional development program would offer participants with opportunities to showcase their successful implementations and share their experiences with the greater school community. Presentations, exhibitions, or seminars in which teachers emphasize their innovative techniques, student outcomes, and lessons learned could be examples of these chances. Celebrating and spreading excellent methods motivates others and reinforces the necessity of putting learnt strategies into practice. For instance, a STEM PD program can host an annual STEM Expo where participating teachers can showcase their project-based learning efforts. They display student work, demonstrate STEM integration, and discuss the impact on student engagement and achievement. Parents, community members, and other educators are also invited to attend and benefit from these best practices.

As a result, in addition to what has already been discussed for sustaining the PD Program will be explained as follows:

1. Leadership Support: School administrators and district officials are critical to the long-term success of the PD program. They should actively support and push for STEM education and continued professional development. This entails distributing resources like as time, money, and materials, as well as providing a supportive climate in which instructors are encouraged to engage in continual learning and growth. For example, during staff meetings, district leaders set aside time for teachers to share their professional development experiences and thoughts. They also provide financial assistance to teachers who wish to attend STEM conferences or workshops in order to expand their knowledge and skills.
2. Collaboration with External Partners: Working with outside groups can give extra resources, funds, and expertise to help the PD program thrive. Universities, industry experts, STEM-focused NGOs, and local businesses can all develop partnerships. These partners can provide guest speakers, access to specialist equipment, material funding, or professional development opportunities outside the scope of the program. For example, a STEM PD program may work with a local engineering firm to create summer internship possibilities for teachers. Teachers spend time at the company, acquiring real-world experience while

working alongside developers. This collaboration not only improves instructors' knowledge and skills, but it also increases the link between classroom learning and the real world.

3. Professional Learning Networks: Encouraging participants to create and maintain professional learning networks outside of the PD program promotes ongoing growth and learning. Teachers can interact, collaborate, and exchange ideas and resources through online forums, social media groups, conferences, and regional meetings. These networks enable continuing support, the sharing of best practices, and keeping up with the most recent research and trends in STEM education. For example, the STEM PD program creates an online community where instructors may share materials, discuss issues, and interact with peers from within the district or even from around the world. Experienced STEM educators moderate the community, facilitating discussions, providing relevant resources, and encouraging participant engagement.

The STEM PD program can ensure the long-term application and sustainability of learned strategies by implementing coaching and mentoring, classroom observations and feedback, showcasing best practices, and sustaining the program through leadership support, collaboration with external partners, and professional learning networks.

Conclusion

A well-designed and sustained STEM PD program is essential for promoting the growth and development of STEM educators. This program can have a substantial impact on teaching methods and student outcomes by engaging a wide set of participants, including numerous activities, and assuring the application of acquired strategies. The PD program can be continued and contribute to the continuing improvement of STEM education in the future with ongoing support, feedback, and collaboration.

Future research should concentrate on the long-term impact and durability of these PD programs. Researchers can acquire useful insights into how to continually enhance and refine these programs by studying the ongoing support, feedback systems, and collaborative possibilities provided to educators. Furthermore, investigating novel techniques to integrating technology and encouraging digital literacy into PD programs could improve their effectiveness in preparing educators for the ever-changing STEM context. Educators can build a culture of continual improvement and innovation in education by investing in the future of STEM PD, ensuring that educators have the knowledge, skills, and support they need to prepare students for tomorrow's problems. Together, educators are embracing jointly the transformative potential of STEM professional development to pave the way for a brighter future for STEM education.

References

Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zacca, A. (2023). Transforming education: A comprehensive review of generative artificial intelligence in educational settings through bibliometric and content analysis. *Sustainability*, *15*(17), 12983.

Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H. (1977). *Social learning theory* (Vol. 1). Prentice Hall: Englewood cliffs.

Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). *How people learn* (Vol. 11). Washington, DC: National academy press.

Corcoran, T. B., Mosher, F. A., & Rogat, A. (2009). Learning progressions in science: An evidence-based approach to reform.

Culver, K. C., Kezar, A., & Koren, E. R. (2023). Improving access and inclusion for VITAL faculty in the scholarship of teaching and learning through sustained professional development programs. *Innovative Higher Education*, *48*(6), 1071-1094.

Dagan, O. (2023). Project-Based Learning Authentic and Effective Learning in Technology Education. *The Bloomsbury Handbook of Technology Education*, 17.

Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). Effective teacher professional development.

Desimone, L. M., Porter, A. C., Garet, M. S., Yoon, K. S., & Birman, B. F. (2002). Effects of professional development on teachers' instruction: Results from a three-year longitudinal study. *Educational evaluation and policy analysis*, *24*(2), 81-112.

Evi-Colombo, A., Cattaneo, A., & Bétrancourt, M. (2023). Procedural knowledge acquisition in a second-year nursing course. Effectiveness of a digital video-based collaborative learning-by-design activity using hypervideo. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *39*(2), 501-516.

Heartfield, L. (2023). *The Effectiveness of College Readiness College Preparatory Programs for Low-Income Teenagers* (Doctoral dissertation, St. John's University (New York)).

Helle, L., Tynjälä, P., & Olkinuora, E. (2006). Project-based learning in post-secondary education–theory, practice and rubber sling shots. *Higher education*, *51*, 287-314.

Khasawneh, Y. J. A., Alsarayreh, R., Al Ajlouni, A. A., Eyadat, H. M., Ayasrah, M. N., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). An examination of teacher collaboration in professional learning communities and collaborative teaching practices. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research*, *10*(3), 446-452.

Kilag, O. K., Catacutan, A., Miñoza, M. L., Arcillo, M., Espinosa, S., & Figer-Canes, R. M. (2023). Optimizing the Teaching of Values Education Strategies for Integration and Contextualization. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, *1*(1), 65-76.

Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge university press.

Maspul, K. A. (2023). Maximizing Multiple Intelligences for Unprecedented Academic Achievement. *Cognitive Development Journal*, *1*(2), 53-59.

Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M. (2013). The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Teachers college record*, *115*(3), 1-47.

Mertler, C. A. (2019). *Action research: Improving schools and empowering educators*. Sage Publications.

Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass, 350 Sansome Street, San Francisco, CA 94104-1310.

National Research Council. (2012). *A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas*. National Academies Press.

Patfield, S., Gore, J., & Harris, J. (2023). Shifting the focus of research on effective professional development: Insights from a case study of implementation. *Journal of Educational change*, *24*(2), 345-363.

Sabah, N. M. (2023). The impact of social media-based collaborative learning environments on students' use outcomes in higher education. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, *39*(3), 667-689.

Vescio, V., Ross, D., & Adams, A. (2008). A review of research on the impact of professional learning communities on teaching practice and student learning. *Teaching and teacher education*, *24*(1), 80-91.

Vygotsky, L. S., & Cole, M. (1978). *Mind in society: Development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard university press.

Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Lee, S. W. Y., Scarloss, B., & Shapley, K. L. (2007). Reviewing the evidence on how teacher professional development affects student achievement. issues & answers. rel 2007-no. 033. *Regional Educational Laboratory Southwest (NJ1)*.

Zabolotna, K., Malmberg, J., & Järvenoja, H. (2023). Examining the interplay of knowledge construction and group-level regulation in a computer-supported collaborative learning physics task. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *138*, 107494.

Zhang, X., Zhang, B., & Zhang, F. (2023). Student-centered case-based teaching and online–offline case discussion in postgraduate courses of computer science. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *20*(1), 6.